

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : A61K 31/485, A61P 15/10 // (A61K 31/485, 31:454)</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/35457</b> (43) International Publication Date: 22 June 2000 (22.06.00)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/29449 (22) International Filing Date: 13 December 1999 (13.12.99) (30) Priority Data: 09/213,567 17 December 1998 (17.12.98) US (71) Applicant: ABBOTT LABORATORIES [US/US]; CHAD 0377/AP6D-2, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL 60064-6050 (US). (72) Inventors: KLING, Karen; 630 Riverside Drive, Libertyville, IL 60048 (US). PERDOK, Renee, J.; 5806 Oxford Circle, Gurnee, IL 60031 (US). RUFF, Dustin, D.; 486 Buckingham Drive, Grayslake, IL 60030 (US). (74) Agents: McNEIL, James, D. et al.; Abbott Laboratories, CHAD 0377/AP6D-2, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL 60064-6050 (US).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>	
<p>(54) Title: USE OF APOMORPHINE IN THE MANUFACTURE OF A MEDICAMENT FOR THE TREATMENT OF ORGANIC ERECTION DYSFUNCTION IN MALES</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A method of treating organic erection dysfunction, particularly vasculogenic erection dysfunction comprises administering to a male in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of apomorphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or pro-drug thereof.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## USE OF APOMORPHINE IN THE MANUFACTURE OF A MEDICAMENT FOR THE TREATMENT OF ORGANIC ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION IN MALES

Technical Field

This invention relates to medical methods of treatment. More particularly, the invention concerns the use of apomorphine for the treatment of organic erectile dysfunction in males, particularly vasculogenic erectile dysfunction.

Background of the Invention

In the medical literature the less precise term, "impotence" has been replaced by the term "erectile dysfunction." This term has been defined by the National Institutes of Health as the inability of the male to attain and maintain erection of the penis sufficient to permit satisfactory sexual intercourse. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 270(1):83-90 (1993). Because adequate arterial blood supply is critical for erection, any disorder that impairs blood flow may be implicated in the etiology of erectile failure. Erectile dysfunction affects millions of men and, although generally regarded as a benign disorder, has a profound impact on their quality of life. It is recognized, however, that in many men psychological desire, orgasmic capacity, and ejaculatory capacity are intact even in the presence of erectile dysfunction.

Etiological factors for erectile disorders have been categorized as psychogenic or organic in origin. Organic factors include those of a neurogenic origin and those of a vasculogenic origin. Neurogenic factors include, for example, lesions of the somatic nervous pathways which may impair reflexogenic erections and interrupt tactile sensations needed to maintain erections, and spinal cord lesions which, depending upon their location and severity, may produce varying degrees of erectile failure.

Psychogenic factors for erectile dysfunction include such processes as depression, anxiety, and relationship problems which can impair erectile functioning by reducing erotic focus or otherwise reducing awareness of sensory experience. This may lead to an inability to initiate or maintain an erection.

Vasculogenic risk factors include factors which affect blood flow and include cigarette smoking, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, vascular disease, high levels of serum cholesterol, low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and other chronic disease conditions such as arthritis. The Massachusetts Male Aging Study (MMAS, as reported by H. A. Feldman, *et al.*, J. Urol., 151: 54-61 (1994) found, for example, that the age-adjusted probability of complete erectile dysfunction was three times greater in subjects reporting treated diabetes than in those without diabetes. While there is some disagreement as to which of the many aspects of diabetes is the direct cause of erectile dysfunction, vascular disease is most frequently cited.

The MMAS also found a significant correlation between erectile dysfunction and heart disease with two of its associated risk factors, hypertension and low serum high density lipoprotein (HDL). It has been reported that 8-10% of all untreated hypertensive patients are impotent at the time they are diagnosed with hypertension. The association of erectile dysfunction with vascular disease in the literature is strong, with impairments in the hemodynamics of erection demonstrated in patients with myocardial infarction, coronary bypass surgery, cerebrovascular accidents, and peripheral vascular disease. The MMAS also found cigarette smoking to be an independent risk factor for vasculogenic erectile dysfunction, with cigarette smoking found to exacerbate the risk of erectile dysfunction associated with cardiovascular diseases.

The treatment of erectile dysfunction varies, depending upon the root causes of the condition in a particular patient. The mode of treatment may involve the use psychotherapeutic, surgical, mechanical, or pharmacotherapeutic methodology. Psychotherapy and/or behavioral therapy are often useful for some patients with erectile dysfunction with no obvious organic cause (psychogenic). Venous ligation is effective in the treatment of patients who have difficulty maintaining an erection due to demonstrated venous leakage from the *corpus cavernosa*. Vacuum constriction devices are sometimes effective in generating and maintaining erections in patients with erectile dysfunction, and semi-rigid, malleable, or inflatable penile prostheses are available for patients who fail or refuse other forms of therapy.

Pharmacologic agents which have been used in the treatment of erectile dysfunction include vasodilators which are injected directly into the body of the penis, as well as orally administered agents. The most effective and well-studied of the injectable vasodilators are papaverine hydrochloride, phentolamine, and alprostadil, used singly or in combination. However, use of penile vasodilators can be problematic in patients who cannot tolerate transient hypotension. Orally administered agents include yohimbine, bromocriptine, fluoxetine, trazadone, tental, sildenafil, phentolamine, and extracts of *ginkgoacea biloba*.

United States Patent 5,770,606 discloses the sub-lingual administration of apomorphine for the treatment of psychogenic erectile dysfunction in males. Apomorphine, a derivative of morphine, was first evaluated for use as a pharmacologic agent as an emetic in 1869. In the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, apomorphine was used as a sedative for psychiatric disturbances and as a behavior-altering agent for alcoholics and addicts. By 1967, the dopaminergic effects of apomorphine were realized, and the compound underwent intensive evaluation for the treatment of Parkinsonism. Since that time, apomorphine has been classified as a selective dopamine receptor agonist that stimulates the central nervous system producing an arousal response manifested by yawning and penile erection in animals and man.

#### Summary of the Invention

It has been found, in accordance with the present invention that organic erectile dysfunction in a male can be effectively treated or ameliorated by administering to a male in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of apomorphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, ester, or pro-drug thereof. In particular, organic erectile dysfunction having a vasculogenic origin is effectively treated by oral administration of apomorphine.

In accordance with the invention, apomorphine is administered in an amount sufficient to produce an effective penile erection, but insufficient to induce nausea,

typically in doses which result in an amount sufficient to establish plasma concentration levels of apomorphine preferably ranging up to about 5.5 nanograms/mL. The compound or one of its appropriate salts or pro-drugs may be administered alone or, if needed at the higher end of the dose range, in combination with an anti-emetic agent.

#### Detailed Description

As used throughout this specification and the appended claims, the term "vasculogenic erectile dysfunction" refers to the condition whereby a male is incapable of initiating and/or maintaining a penile erection sufficient for satisfactory intercourse due to diminished blood flow accompanying a disease of the cardiovascular system and/or an associated risk factor. Such diseases include, but are not limited to, myocardial infarction, heart disease, peripheral vascular disease, and impaired circulation resulting from diabetes, cigarette smoking, cardiac bypass surgery, and cerebrovascular accidents. Associated risk factors include hypertension, elevated serum low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and low serum high density lipoprotein (HDL).

The terms "acute dose" or "acute administration" mean the scheduled administration of a drug to the patient on an as-needed basis.

The term "co-administration" of two or more pharmacologic agents means the administration of the two or more agents together in a single unit dosage form or, alternatively, in two or more separate unit dosage forms, one immediately following the other.

The terms "effective vasocongestive arousal" or "effective erection" mean, the swelling or tumescence of the male penis sufficient to effect vaginal penetration.

The method of treatment of the present invention involves the administration of apomorphine or one of its pharmaceutically acceptable acid addition salts, pro-drug or pro-drug ester to a patient suffering vasculogenic erectile dysfunction. Apomorphine, (*R*)-5,6,6a,7-tetrahydro-6-methyl-(4*H*)-dibenzo[*de,g*]quinoline-10,11-diol, is a derivative of morphine obtained by treatment of the latter with concentrated hydrochloric acid (L. Small, *et al.*, J. Org. Chem., 5: 334 (1940)) or by heating morphine with zinc

chloride (Mayer, Ber., 4: 171 (1871)). The compound has the chemical structure:

#### Apomorphine

and possesses a chiral center at position 6a. The total synthesis of the racemic mixture is reported by J. L. Neumeyer, *et al.*, J. Pharm. Sci., 59:1850 (1970) and the synthesis of the separate enantiomers by V. J. Ram and J. L. Neumeyer, J. Org. Chem., 46: 2830 (1981). The compound possesses a basic nitrogen atom at position 6 and is thus capable of existing in the free base form as well as acid addition salt forms.

The compound may be administered as the free base or in the form of one of its more soluble pharmaceutically acceptable salts or pro-drug derivatives. Administration of the racemic form, or either of the enantiomers, alone, or in various combinations, is contemplated as falling within the scope of this invention.

As used herein, the term "pharmaceutically acceptable salt" refers to those salts which are within the scope of sound medical judgment, suitable for use in contact with the tissues of humans and lower animals without undue toxicity, irritation, allergic response and the like, and are commensurate with a reasonable benefit/risk ratio. Pharmaceutically acceptable salts are well known in the art. For example, S. M. Berge, *et al.* describe pharmaceutically acceptable salts in detail in J. Pharmaceutical Sciences, 66: 1-19 (1977). The salts are prepared *in situ* during the final isolation and purification of the compounds of the invention, or separately by reacting the free base function with a suitable organic acid. Examples of pharmaceutically acceptable, nontoxic acid addition salts are salts of an

amino group formed with inorganic acids such as hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, phosphoric acid, sulfuric acid and perchloric acid or with organic acids such as acetic acid, oxalic acid, maleic acid, tartaric acid, citric acid, succinic acid or malonic acid or by using other methods used in the art such as ion exchange. Other pharmaceutically acceptable salts include adipate, alginate, ascorbate, aspartate, benzenesulfonate, benzoate, bisulfate, borate, butyrate, camphorate, camphorsulfonate, citrate, cyclopentanepropionate, digluconate, dodecylsulfate, ethanesulfonate, formate, fumarate, glucoheptonate, glycerophosphate, gluconate, hemisulfate, heptanoate, hexanoate, hydroiodide, 2-hydroxy-ethanesulfonate, lactobionate, lactate, laurate, lauryl sulfate, malate, maleate, malonate, methanesulfonate, 2-naphthalenesulfonate, nicotinate, nitrate, oleate, oxalate, palmitate, pamoate, pectinate, persulfate, 3-phenylpropionate, phosphate, picrate, pivalate, propionate, stearate, succinate, sulfate, tartrate, thiocyanate, p-toluenesulfonate, undecanoate, valerate salts, and the like.

The term "pro-drug" refers to compounds that are rapidly transformed *in vivo* to yield the parent compound, as for example, by hydrolysis in blood. T. Higuchi and V. Stella provide a thorough discussion of the pro-drug concept in "Pro-drugs as Novel Delivery Systems", Vol. 14 of the A.C.S. Symposium Series, American Chemical Society (1975). Examples of esters useful as pro-drugs for compounds containing carboxyl groups may be found on pages 14-21 of "Bioreversible Carriers in Drug Design: Theory and Application," edited by E.B. Roche, Pergamon Press (1987).

The term "pro-drug ester group" refers to any of several ester-forming groups that are hydrolyzed under physiological conditions. Examples of pro-drug ester groups include pivoyloxymethyl, acetoxymethyl, phthalidyl, indanyl and methoxymethyl, as well as other such groups known in the art.

As used herein, the term "pharmaceutically acceptable ester" of apomorphine refers to esters formed with one or both of the hydroxyl functions at positions 10 and 11, and which hydrolyze *in vivo* and include those that break down readily in the human body to leave the parent compound or a salt thereof. Suitable ester groups include, for



example, those derived from pharmaceutically acceptable aliphatic carboxylic acids, particularly alkanolic, alkenolic, cycloalkanoic and alkanedioic acids, in which each alkyl or alkenyl moiety advantageously has not more than 6 carbon atoms. Examples of particular esters includes formates, acetates, propionates, butyates, acrylates and ethylsuccinates.

For an optimal vasocongestive arousal response (erection) in the male, steady state circulating serum and mid-brain tissue levels of apomorphine should be maintained within a relatively closely defined range. The drug is preferably administered in a formulation which rapidly delivers the drug to the system while maintaining and not exceeding the desired systemic levels of the drug. Methods known to the practitioner of the pharmaceutical formulation arts which accomplishes this means may be used. For example, the drug may be delivered to the system by means of a solid oral formulation, by a liquid formulation, including one applied as drops sub-lingually; by a tablet, lozenge, or lollipop held in the mouth and absorbed buccally or sublingually; by means of a suppository formulation administered rectally; or by a powder, gel, or suspension, or an intra-nasal spray formulation. The drug may also be administered in a sterile parenteral formulation by sub-cutaneous or intramuscular route, although sub-lingual, buccal, intra-nasal, and suppository formulations are preferred because of their greater ease of administration and the resulting greater potential for patient acceptance.

Sublingual apomorphine dosage forms, usually containing about 2.5 to about 10 milligrams of apomorphine, are useful in treating the symptoms of male vasculogenic sexual dysfunction, including its symptomatic manifestations without nausea or other undesirable side effects. The apomorphine is administered in the time period immediately prior to sexual intercourse, generally during the period between about 2 minutes and 120 minutes prior to sexual relations, preferably during the period between about 2 minutes and about 60 minutes prior to sexual relations so as to maintain a predetermined circulating serum levels and mid-brain tissue levels of apomorphine during the period of sexual activity sufficient to induce and maintain penile erection adequate for intercourse (i.e. "effective vasocongestive arousal") but less than the amount

that induces nausea. Plasma concentrations of apomorphine are preferably maintained at between about 0.3 to 5.5 nanograms per milliliter, preferably between about 0.3 to about 4 nanograms per milliliter, and more preferably between about 1 to about 2 nanograms per milliliter.

Apomorphine is a dopamine receptor agonist that has a recognized use as an emetic when administered subcutaneously in about a 5-milligram dose. For the purposes of the present invention, apomorphine is administered in an amount sufficient to excite cells in the mid-brain region of the patient but with minimal side effects. This cell excitation is believed to be part of a cascade of stimulation that is likely to include neurotransmission with serotonin and oxytocin.

The dopamine receptors in the mid-brain region of a patient can be stimulated to a degree sufficient to cause an erectile response without inducing nausea by the administration, preferably sublingually, of apomorphine so as to maintain a plasma concentration of apomorphine of no more than about 5.5 nanograms per milliliter (5.5 ng/ml). The sublingual administration usually takes place over a time period in the range of about 2 to about 10 minutes, or longer. The amount of apomorphine administered sublingually over this time period preferably is in the range of about 25 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) of body weight to about 60  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  of body weight.

In sensitive patients experiencing nausea, the onset of nausea can be obviated or delayed by delivering apomorphine at a controlled dissolution rate so as to provide circulating serum levels and midbrain tissue levels of apomorphine less than 5.5 nanograms/mL. When apomorphine is administered at or near the higher amounts of the aforementioned dosage range, the likelihood of the onset of nausea can be reduced by concurrent administration of a ganglionic agent (inhibitor of ganglionic response) such as nicotine or lobeline sulfate. For this purpose, the weight ratio of apomorphine to ganglionic agent is in the range of about 10 to about 1.

Other antiemetic agents that can be used in conjunction with apomorphine are antidopaminergic agents such as metoclopramide, and the phenothiazines, e.g., chlorpromazine, prochlorperazine, pipamazine, thiethylperazine, oxypendyl

hydrochloride, and the like. Also suitable are the serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine or 5-HT) antagonists such as domperidone, ondansetron (commercially available as the hydrochloride salt under the designation Zofran™), and the like, the histamine antagonists such as buclizine hydrochloride, cyclizine hydrochloride, dimenhydrinate (Dramamine™), and the like, the parasympathetic depressants such as scopolamine, and the like, as well as other anti-emetics such as metopimazine, trimethobenzamide, benzaunamine hydrochloride, diphenidol hydrochloride, and the like.

Illustrative preferred sublingual dosage forms are set forth in Table I, below.

**Table I**  
150-Milligram Apomorphine Hydrochloride  
Sublingual Tablets

3-mg Tablet

Apomorphine Hydrochloride	2.00 wt-%
Mannitol	66.67 wt-%
Ascorbic Acid	3.33 wt-%
Citric Acid	2.00 wt-%
Avicel PH 102	15.00 Wt-%
Methocel E4	10.00 Wt-%
Aspartame	0.67 wt-%
Magnesium stearate	0.33 wt-%

4-mg Tablet

Apomorphine Hydrochloride	2.66 wt-%
Mannitol	66.00 wt-%
Ascorbic Acid	3.33 wt-%
Citric Acid	2.00 wt-%

Avicel PH102	15.00 wt-%
Methocel E4M	10.00 wt-%
Aspartame	0.67 wt-%
Magnesium stearate	0.33 wt-%

5-mg Tablet

Apomorphine Hydrochloride	3.33 wt-%
Mannitol	65.34 wt-%
Ascorbic Acid	3.33 wt-%
Citric Acid	2.00 wt-%
Avicel PH102	15.00 wt-%
Methocel E4M	10.00 wt-%
Aspartame	0.67 wt-%
Magnesium stearate	0.33 wt-%

If desired, and in order to facilitate absorption and thus bioavailability, the presently contemplated dosage forms can also contain, in addition to tableting excipients,  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin or a  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin derivative such as hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (HPBCD). Illustrative dosage forms containing HPBCD are shown in Tables II and III, below.

**Table II**  
**Apomorphine Hydrochloride Sublingual Tablets**  
**With Hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -Cyclodextrin**

<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>mg/Tab</u>
Apomorphine hydrochloride	4.0
HPBCD	5.0
Ascorbic acid	10.0

PEG 8000	39.5
Mannitol	39.5
Aspartame	2.0
Total	100.0

**Table III**  
**Apomorphine Hydrochloride Sublingual**  
**Tablets With  $\beta$ -Cyclodextrin**

<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>mg/Tab</u>
Apomorphine hydrochloride	5.0
$\beta$ -Cyclodextrin	20.0
Ascorbic acid	5.0
Mannitol	68.9
Magnesium stearate	1.0
D&C Yellow 10 aluminum lake	0.1
TOTAL	100.0

Nicotine- and domperidone-containing dosage forms are illustrated in Table IV, below.

**Table IV**  
**Apomorphine Hydrochloride Sublingual Tablets**  
**Containing an Anti-Emetic Agent**  
**Nicotine-containing tablet**

<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>mg/Tab</u>
Apomorphine Hydrochloride	5.0
Ascorbic Acid	5.0
Mannitol	67.9

Magnesium Stearate	1.0
Nicotine	1.0
$\beta$ -Cyclodextrin	20.0
D&C Yellow aluminum lake	0.1
TOTAL	100.0

Domperidone-containing tablet

<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>mg/Tab</u>
Apomorphine Hydrochloride	5.0
Ascorbic Acid	5.0
Mannitol	58.9
Magnesium Stearate	1.0
Domperidone	10.0
$\beta$ -Cyclodextrin	20.0
D&C Yellow 10 aluminum lake	0.1
TOTAL	100.0

The preferred sublingual dosage forms dissolve within a time period of less than about 10 minutes. The dissolution time can be essentially instantaneous or, if desired, as long as the necessary plasma concentration of apomorphine is to be maintained. Preferably, the dissolution time in water for the presently contemplated dosage forms is about 3 minutes to about 5 minutes.

A multi-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, three-armed study was conducted on patients diagnosed with male erectile dysfunction. For each sequence, patients received placebo in one of the treatment periods, and a dose (2 mg, 4 mg, 5 mg, or 6 mg) of apomorphine in the other treatment period. This arrangement resulted in approximately one-third of the patients receiving one of the three

apomorphine doses.

During treatment periods of the study, patients and their sexual partners were instructed to attempt intercourse a minimum of twice weekly. Each time the study drug was taken, a questionnaire was completed by the patient and mailed to the study sponsor. The questionnaire recorded the date and time the study drug was taken, an evaluation of the erection, whether or not sexual intercourse had occurred, and satisfaction associated with each attempt. The patient also completed a diary which recorded the latency and duration of erections associated with the use of the study drug and anti-emetic and concomitant medication usage and any adverse effects. If an erection occurred after administration to the patient of the study drug, the duration of erection and time-to-erection were recorded in the patient diary. The data from these studies were analyzed, and the results from patients who were diagnosed as hypertensive, or who were taking a hypertensive medication, were tabulated. These study results appear in Tables V-VII below.

Table V shows the responses on the questionnaire question, "Did you achieve an erection firm enough for intercourse?" of study participants receiving placebo or one of four doses (2 mg, 4, mg, 5 mg, or 6 mg) of apomorphine.

**Table V**  
Erection Firm Enough for Intercourse  
(Based on Attempts\*)

<u>Apomorphine</u>					<u>Placebo</u>		
<u>Dose</u>	<u>No. of Patients</u>	<u>Response</u>	<u>Attempts (Percent)</u>		<u>Attempts (Percent)</u>		<u>P-Value</u>
2 mg	65	Yes	210	43.30	162	34.11	0.028
2 mg		No	275	56.70	309	65.61	
4 mg	53	Yes	202	52.60	105	27.06	<0.001
4 mg		No	182	47.40	283	72.94	

5 mg	26	Yes	95	49.48	62	30.85	0.022
5 mg		No	97	50.52	139	69.15	
6 mg	50	Yes	233	62.13	119	32.96	<0.001
6 mg		No	142	37.87	242	67.04	

\* An "attempt" was defined as the taking of study drug and completion of the appropriate questionnaire efficacy question.

The data in Table V show that apomorphine, at each dose tested, significantly increased the percentage of reported erections which were firm enough for intercourse. While not holding to one theory to the exclusion of others, it is believed that the slightly lower "yes" responses for the 5 mg dose when compared with the 4 mg dose reflects the smaller patient population for the 5 mg dose study.

Table VI shows the "success" responses on the questionnaire from study participants receiving placebo or one of four doses (2 mg, 4 mg, 5 mg, or 6 mg) of apomorphine. A treatment was deemed a "success" for a patient if at least 50% of the first eight attempts while using the treatment resulted in erections firm enough for intercourse. Table VI shows the percentages of patients classified as treatment success. The results show success rates of 68.0% for drug vs. 38.8% for placebo at the 6 mg drug dose; 53.8% for drug vs. 38.5% for placebo at the 5 mg drug dose; 62.3% for drug vs.

**Table VI**  
"Successful" Erections Firm Enough for Intercourse

Apomorphine 2 mg	Placebo			
		Successful	Not Successful	Total
	Successful	21 (32.3%)	8 (12.3%)	29 (44.6%)
	Not Successful	4 (6.2%)	32 (49.2%)	36 (55.4%)
	Total	25 (38.5%)	40 (61.5%)	65 (100%)

	Placebo			
		Successful	Not Successful	Total



Apomorphine 4 mg	Successful	12 (22.6%)	21 (39.6%)	33 (62.3%)
	Not Successful	3 (5.7%)	17 (32.1%)	20 (37.7%)
	Total	15 (28.3%)	38 (71.7%)	53 (100%)

Apomorphine 5 mg	Placebo			
		Successful	Not Successful	Total
	Successful	8 (30.8%)	6 (23.1%)	14 (53.8%)
	Not Successful	2 (7.7%)	10 (38.5%)	12 (46.2%)
	Total	10 (38.5%)	16 (61.5%)	26 (100%)

Apomorphine 6 mg	Placebo			
		Successful	Not Successful	Total
	Successful	18 (36.0%)	16 (32.0%)	34 (68.0%)
	Not Successful	1 (2.0%)	15 (30.0%)	16 (32.0%)
	Total	19 (38.0%)	31 (62.0%)	50 (100%)

26.9% for placebo at the 4 mg drug dose; and 44.6% for drug vs. 38.5% for placebo at the 2 mg drug dose. The results were statistically significant for the 4 mg and 6 mg doses; while showing a favorable success rate for the 2 mg and 5 mg doses, the lack of statistical significance is possibly due to the small sample size.

Finally, Table VII shows the results of the number of attempts which actually resulted in intercourse as reported by study participants on the questionnaire.

**Table VII**

Attempt Resulted in Intercourse  
(Based on First Eight Attempts\*)

<u>Apomorphine</u>					<u>Placebo</u>		
Dose	<u>No. of Patients</u>	<u>Response</u>	<u>Attempts (Percent)</u>		<u>Attempts (Percent)</u>		<u>P-Value</u>
2 mg	65	Yes	185	38.22	148	31.36	0.080
2 mg		No	299	61.78	324	68.64	
4 mg	52	Yes	183	47.66	87	22.96	<0.001
4 mg		No	201	52.34	292	77.04	
5 mg	26	Yes	100	52.08	62	30.85	0.012
5 mg		No	92	47.92	140	69.65	
6 mg	50	Yes	205	56.01	96	26.67	<0.001
6 mg		No	161	43.99	264	73.33	

\* An "attempt" was defined as the taking of study drug and completion of the appropriate questionnaire efficacy question.

The results presented in Table VII parallel those of Table V, with the percentage of attempts which resulted in intercourse being 56.01% for drug vs. 26.67% for placebo at the 6 mg drug dose; 52.08% for drug vs. 30.35% for placebo at the 5 mg drug dose; 47.66% for drug vs. 22.96% for placebo at the 4 mg drug dose; and 38.22% for drug vs. 31.36% for placebo at the 2 mg drug dose.

The data presented in Tables V-VII demonstrate that the administration of apomorphine to males suffering hypertension, a representative vasculogenic cause for erectile dysfunction, is successful in treating or ameliorating the condition.

While there have been shown and described what are believed to be the preferred embodiments of the present invention, it will be clear to one of ordinary skill in the art that various modifications can be made in the practice of the invention without departing from its scope as defined by the appended claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of treating organic erectile dysfunction in a male comprising administering to a male in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of apomorphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, ester, or pro-drug thereof.
2. The method of Claim 1 wherein said organic erectile dysfunction is vasculogenic in origin relating to a disease of the cardiovascular system.
3. The method of Claim 1 wherein said organic erectile dysfunction is vasculogenic in origin relating to a risk factor associated with a disease of the cardiovascular system.
4. The method of Claim 2 wherein said disease of the cardiovascular system is myocardial infarction, heart disease, or peripheral vascular disease.
5. The method of Claim 2 wherein said disease of the cardiovascular system is impaired circulation associated with diabetes, cardiac bypass surgery, cerebral vascular trauma, or cigarette smoking.
6. The method of Claim 3 wherein said risk factor associated with a disease of the cardiovascular system is hypertension, elevated serum low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and low serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL).
7. The method of Claim 1 wherein said apomorphine is administered in an amount sufficient to produce an effective penile erection in said male, but insufficient to induce nausea.
8. The method of Claim 1 wherein said apomorphine is co-administered with an

emesis inhibitory effective amount of an anti-emetic agent.

9. The method of Claim 1 wherein said apomorphine is administered in an amount between about 25 micrograms/kg of body weight and about 60 micrograms/kg of body weight.
10. The method of Claim 1 wherein said apomorphine is administered in an amount sufficient to establish plasma concentration levels of apomorphine ranging between about 0.3 to about 5.5 nanograms/mL.
11. The method of Claim 10 wherein said plasma levels of apomorphine range between about 0.3 and about 4 nanograms/mL.
12. The method of Claim 11 wherein said plasma levels of apomorphine range between about 1 and about 2 nanograms/mL.
13. The method of Claim 8 wherein said anti-emetic agent is selected from the group consisting of nicotine, lobeline sulfate, metoclopramide, chlorpromazine, prochlorperazine, pipamazine, thiethylperazine, oxypendyl hydrochloride, domperidone, ondansetron, buclizine hydrochloride, cyclizine hydrochloride, dimenhydrinate, scopolamine, metopimazine, trimethobenzamide, benzaunamine hydrochloride, and diphenidol hydrochloride.
14. A method of treating erectile dysfunction in a hypertensive male comprising administering to a male in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of apomorphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, ester, or pro-drug thereof.
15. The method of Claim 14 wherein said apomorphine is co-administered with an emesis inhibitory effective amount of an anti-emetic agent.

16. The method of Claim 14 wherein said apomorphine is administered in an amount between about 25 micrograms/kg of body weight and about 60 micrograms/kg of body weight.
17. The method of Claim 14 wherein said apomorphine is administered in an amount sufficient to establish plasma concentration levels of apomorphine ranging between about 0.3 to about 5.5 nanograms/mL.
18. The method of Claim 17 wherein said plasma levels of apomorphine range between about 0.3 and about 4 nanograms/mL.
19. The method of Claim 18 wherein said plasma levels of apomorphine range between about 1 and about 2 nanograms/mL.
20. The method of Claim 15 wherein said anti-emetic agent is selected from the group consisting of nicotine, lobeline sulfate, metoclopramide, chlorpromazine, prochlorperazine, pipamazine, thiethylperazine, oxypendyl hydrochloride, domperidone, ondansetron, buclizine hydrochloride, cyclizine hydrochloride, dimenhydrinate, scopolamine, metopimazine, trimethobenzamide, benzaunamine hydrochloride, and diphenidol hydrochloride.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 99/29449

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC 7 A61K31/485 A61P15/10 //(A61K31/485,31:454)				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K A61P				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)				
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 5 770 606 A (HEATON JEREMY P W ET AL) 23 June 1998 (1998-06-23) * col.2, 1.29-50; col.4, 1.60-col.5, 1.20; claims 1-9 *	1-20		
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patent family members are listed in annex.				
<b>* Special categories of cited documents :</b> <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance            "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date            "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)            "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means            "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed         </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention            "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone            "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.            "A" document member of the same patent family         </td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 11 May 2000		Date of mailing of the international search report 18/05/2000		
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5616 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3018		Authorized officer Utber, P		

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 99/29449

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5770606 A	23-06-1998	US 5985889 A	16-11-1999
		AT 189121 T	15-02-2000
		AU 703608 B	25-03-1999
		AU 2295895 A	16-11-1995
		CA 2188385 A	02-11-1995
		DE 69514794 D	02-03-2000
		EP 0758895 A	26-02-1997
		EP 0978282 A	09-02-2000
		JP 9512273 T	09-12-1997
		WO 9528930 A	02-11-1995